

Effect of a steep and complex-featured shelf on computed wave spectra

Juan O. Gonzalez-Lopez¹, J.J. Westerink¹, A. Mercado², J.E. Capella³, J.M. Morell², M. Canals⁴

¹Department of Civil Enginerring and Geological Sciences University of Notre Dame

> ²Department of Marine Sciences University of Puerto Rico – Mayaguez

³Modeling Coordinator Caribbean Coastal Ocean Observing System



⁴Department of Engineering Science and Materials University of Puerto Rico - Mayaguez



Motivation



Coupling of circulation and wave models:

- Different physical scales (currents / waves)
- Both scales must be appropriately solved on same mesh
- Computational constraints

Extend SWAN+ADCIRC applications:

- Operational forecasting
- Physical phenomena at least computational cost

Islands / steep and complex bathymetry modeling





Methodology

۲

SWAN+ADCIRC

- Wave circulation coupled model
- Parametric winds for Hurricane Georges (1998)
- Manning's n bottom friction based on benthic coverage

Explore the effect of mesh resolution on computed wave spectra

- Original FEMA FIS 2007 mesh : ~ 800 m resolution at shelf
- CariCOOS 2011 mesh: ~ 50 m resolution at shelf
- Notre Dame 2011 mesh: ~50 m resolution at shelf, ~ 50 m resolution at coast

Compare results to high-resolution structured SWAN simulation

- 30 m resolution structured grid, nested inside a 5 km grid
- Same winds, currents, and water levels

Focus on two dimensional wave spectra, peak periods and significant wave height

Conclusions



Computation of SWAN+ADCIRC wave spectra is <u>very sensitive</u> to the mesh spatial resolution

- Increasing / decreasing resolution <u>only</u> at the shelf break produces very different solutions
- Computed 2D wave spectrum shows higher spectral energy at low shelf resolution
- When compared to high-resolution (30 m) structured SWAN the energy of the peak spectral energy is incorrect; not in accordance with wind direction



Puerto Rico Southwest Shelf







- Bathymetric slope exceeds 2:1
- Depth drops from 20 to 400 m

FEMA FIS 2007 Mesh





- FEMA mesh not made for wavecirculation coupling.
- 800 m resolution at shelf break
- 23 to 550 m in one element size



Increasing resolution at shelf

CariCOOS 2011 MESH



50 m resolution at shelf

Notre Dame 2011 MESH



50 m resolution at shelf and coastline









FEMA FIS 2007 Peak Periods (s)











FEMA FIS 2007 HS (m)

T = 1H





CariCOOS 2011 Peak Periods (s)

T = 1H



CariCOOS 2011 HS (m)

T = 1H









Notre Dame 2011 TPS (s)



T = 1H









Notre Dame 2011 HS (s)

T = 1H











HiRes SWAN



T = Hs max



Same winds, currents, water levels No "anomalous" peak periods or HS "rays"

HiRes SWAN





■Deep (1,040 m)

Peak Periods (s)



Deep Location

Shallow Location







2D Spectra at Deep Location

0 **FEMA** 1 7 330 30 $m^{2}Hz^{-1}\theta^{-1}$ 60 300 100.0 0.1 10.00 Frequency (Hz) 270 90 0.4000 0.1 -0.007000 240 120 1.000E-04 210 150 1 È 180 **Notre Dame** 0 1 -330 30 $m^{2}Hz^{-1}\theta^{-1}$ 60 300 1.000 0.5091 Frequency (Hz) 0.1 0.05909 0.006727 270 90 7.545E-04 8.364E-05 0.1 9.182E-06 120 240 1.000E-06 210 150 13 180



Conclusions



Computation of SWAN+ADCIRC wave spectra is <u>very sensitive</u> to the mesh spatial resolution

- Increasing / decreasing resolution <u>only</u> at the shelf break produces very different solutions
- Computed 2D wave spectrum shows higher spectral energy at low shelf resolution
- When compared to high-resolution (30 m) structured SWAN the direction of the peak spectral energy is incorrect; not in accordance with wind direction

Acknowledgements



José Enrique Fernández fellowship

University of Notre Dame







