The modeling of quadruplets

The LQA method for the computation of non-linear four-wave interactions and shallow water effects

Gerbrant van Vledder

12th Int. Workshop on Wave Hindcasting and Forecasting and 3rd Coastal Hazards Symposium, Koala Coast, Hawai'i's Big Island, Oct. 30- Nov. 4, 2011



Scope and results

- Progress in the development of efficient methods for the computation of non-linear four-wave interactions in discrete spectral wind-wave models
- Improved efficiency of WRT method, including LQA
- Resemblance of stripped-down WRT method and mDIA
- Inclusion of improved shallow water physics in coupling coefficient slows down down-shifting of spectral peak



Contents

- Non-linear four-wave interactions in wind-wave models
- Computational methods
- Discrete Interaction Approximations
- Reducing the work load in the WRT method
- Equivalence of WRT and DIA's
- Effect of modified shallow water physics



Non-linear four-wave interactions in wind-wave models

- Non-linear four-wave interactions essential for wind-wave evolution
- Source term fully known, but too time-consuming for practical use
- Operational models like WW III, SWAN, WAM use crude but fast DIA
- Error's in DIA's are compensated by tuning other source terms
- Need for more accurate and 'fast' parameterisations of non-linear four-wave interactions



Computational methods

- Discrete Interaction Approximation (Hasselmann et al., 1985)
- Exact reformulations of Boltzmann integral (Webb, 1978; Masuda, 1980; Polnikov, 1997; Lavrenov, 2001;...)
- Practical exact solution methods (Tracy and Resio, 1982; Van Vledder, 2005; Komatsu and Masuda, 2001; Gagnaire-Renou et al., 2010; ...)
- Two Scale Approximation (Resio and Perrie, 2009, 2010)
- Dominant transfer (Perrie et al., 2010)



Challenge: bridging the gap

- Exact methods (accurate and time consuming)
- Discrete Interaction Approximation (fast and inaccurate)
- Speeding up exact solution method (filtering; courser interpolation; higher-order quadrature methods; smarter choice of integration space, ...). Reduced Integration Methods
- Extending the DIA with more wave number configurations
- Will both methods meet somewhere ?







Classic DIA and it extension

- DIA with one type of configuration $k_1 = k_2$ $\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega$ $\omega_3 = (1 + \lambda)\omega$ $\omega_4 = (1 - \lambda)\omega$
- Generalized DIA with arbitrary configuration (Van Vledder, 2001; symmetric form proposed by Tolman 2004).

$$\boldsymbol{k}_{1} \neq \boldsymbol{k}_{2}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{2} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_{1} + \Delta \boldsymbol{\theta}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{1} = \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{2} = (1 + \mu)\boldsymbol{\omega}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{3} = (1 + \lambda)\boldsymbol{\omega}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{4} = (1 - \mu - \lambda)\boldsymbol{\omega}$$





Discrete Interaction Approximations

- Classic DIA, λ =0.25, Hasselmann et al., 1985
- Multiple DIA, λ_i , i=1,2 (Van Vledder et al., 2000)
- Hashimoto and Kawaguchi (2001), Tolman (2004)
- DIA limited to one type wave number configuration
- Adding of this type of configuration no solution
- Generalized Multiple DIA with λ_i , μ_i , $\Delta \theta_i$ (Van Vledder, 2001, Tolman, 2004, SRIAM, Komatsu and Masuda, 2001)



Coefficients of mDIA

- Each wave number configuration has 3 shape factors $(\lambda, \mu, \Delta\theta)$ and a coefficient of proportionality C_{nl4}
- How to choose these coefficients?
- Least square analysis against limited set of (often academic) spectra
- Holistic approach, growth curve analysis (Hasselmann et al., 1985; Tolman, 2010)
- What is the next best wave number configuration?
- What is the best combination of 2, 3, 4, ..., configurations?
- Start from the other end: mathematically consistent stripping down of WRT method to end up with discrete interaction configurations



Strip down exact method to mimic a Discrete Interaction

 Workhorse is the WRT method of Resio and Perrie (1992), Van Vledder (2006)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{n}_1}{\partial t} = \int d\boldsymbol{k}_3 T(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_3)$$
$$T(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_3) = \int_s ds G(s) J(s) N(s)$$



The T-function in the WRT method



k₁ and **k**₃ loop over all discrete wave numbers of a spectrum

For each \mathbf{k}_1 , \mathbf{k}_3 combination the resonant \mathbf{k}_2 and \mathbf{k}_4 wave numbers form closed path (locus)

 $T(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_3)$ integrates product of functions (coupling coefficient, Jacobian term, wave number product) along locus



Range of \mathbf{k}_3 in discrete wave number grid



Speed up by choosing only \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_3 combinations that are not too far separated in wave number space.

Effective method to reduce workload, examples in Van Vledder (2006)



Modifying outer \mathbf{k}_3 integration loop in WRT method



Disadvantage of present implementation of WRT method: \mathbf{k}_1 and \mathbf{k}_3 fixed to discrete wave number grid.

Distribute \mathbf{k}_3 around \mathbf{k}_1 according to e.g. Gauss-Legendre quadrature including proper weights



Integration along locus, LQA

Pick a few points on locus, but keep all information of G and J





Piece wise integration along locus, lump contribution of coupling coefficient G and Jacobian J, which can be precomputed

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{N} N(s_i) \int_{s_i - 0.5\Delta s_i}^{s_i + 0.5\Delta s_i} G(s) J(s) ds$$



Incremental integration along locus



Dual points on locus form a quadruplet

Identify individual wave number configuration on locus

Determine shape factors λ , μ , $\Delta\theta$





Equivalence of stripped WRT and Discrete Interaction

- In WRT changes are made only to each pair of discrete n(k₁) and n(k₃), while using information from loci of k₂ and k₄. Action densities at the latter wave numbers are affected further on in the looping process.
- In DIA changes are made simultaneously to all four wave numbers in a configuration of k₁, k₂, k₃ and k₄
- Principle of detailed balance $\Delta n_1 = \Delta n_2 = -\Delta n_3 = -\Delta n_4$
- Strength of individual T-contributions determine weight of quadruplets. Account for scaling with wave number.



Testing new approximations



- Example of comparison
- Renormalization needed
- First check on individual spectra
- Stability analysis, growth curves
- Field cases



Shallow water effects

- WRT method also suitable for shallow water
- Extension of (mG)DIA to shallow water
- WAM: Overall scaling factor R(kh), based on narrow peak approximation Herterich and Hasselmann (1980)
- Shape remains constant, whereas it will change !
- Shallow water DIA (Van Vledder and Bottema, 2002), depth included in dispersion relation and coupling coefficient
- More advanced msDIA developed by Tolman (2010)

Modulational instabilities in shallow water

- (Peter) Janssen and Onorato (2007) investigated effects of modulational instabilities and wave induced currents in shallow water on non-linear transfer rate
- For narrow peak approximation they show that S_{nl4} vanishes for kh = 1.363
- They suggest alternative scaling of deep water transfer rate
- Full coupling coefficient and narrow band approximation



- Narrow-band scaling implemented in SWAN model
- Fetch-limited wave growth
- Storm condition in Dutch Wadden Sea



Fetch-limited wave growth

- $U_{10} = 10 \text{ m/s}$, depth = 5 m, fetch = 10 km
- Slower downshifting of spectral peak
- Spectra shown at 5, 7.5 and 10 km
- Wave heights and periods 10% smaller





Storm of 9 November 2007



TUDelft

Effect on periods and heights (5%) and spectra at buoy positions





Summary and conclusions

- Efficiency of WRT improved (no claims yet about performance)
- Stripped down WRT resembles set of discrete interactions
- Further testing and choice of settings in progress
- Narrow band depth scaling of Janssen and Onorato (2007) slows down downshifting of spectral peak
- Consequences in Wadden Sea small and local
- Implementation and testing of full modified coupling coefficients in progress





